UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,727	08/08/2006	Takuo Suzuki	129039	2417
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 09/08/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	SASTRI, SATYA B		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/588,727	SUZUKI ET AL.	
Lammer-mualeu mierview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SATYA B. SASTRI	1796	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>SATYA B. SASTRI</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Ms. Azza Jayaprakash</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 3 September 2009	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Claims 1, 5, 6 Prior art documents discussed: Pettit, Jr. (US 5,202,382) and Nishioka et al. (JP2004010735, DE	RWENT AB.)		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
/Satya B Sastrı/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted to discuss two new references, to Pettit, Jr. and Nishioka et al. and possible amendment of claim 1 via incorporation of limitations in dependent claims 5 and/or 6 into the independent claim 1. Upon further consideration and in view of additional new art found by the examiner, applicant was contacted again to be notified that an office action with new claim rejections was going to be mailed to the applicant. No agreement was reached during the course of the interview.